


PCT/NZ03/00194

Rec'd PCT/PTO 01 MAR 2005



CERTIFICATE

This certificate is issued in support of an application for Patent registration in a country outside New Zealand pursuant to the Patents Act 1953 and the Regulations thereunder.

I hereby certify that annexed is a true copy of the Provisional Specification as filed on 1 September 2002 with an application for Letters Patent number 519011 made by The University of Waikato.

Dated 29 September 2003.

PRIORITY DOCUMENT
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

Neville Harris

Neville Harris
Commissioner of Patents, Trade Marks and Designs




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

519011

POST-DATED UNDER SECT. 12(3)

TO 1 Sept 2002



PATENTS FORM NO. 4

Appln Fee: \$50.00

James & Wells ref: 120365/28

PATENTS ACT 1953
PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION

REACTION PROCESS

We, The University of Waikato of Hillcrest Road (no number), Hamilton,
New Zealand, a body existing by virtue of the University of Waikato Act
1963

do hereby declare this invention to be described in the following statement:

James & Wells ref: 120365/28

REACTION PROCESS

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to a reaction process.

Specifically, this invention relates to a technique for controlling chemical reactions
5 and enabling the separation of reaction products..

BACKGROUND ART

A chemical reaction may be defined as a process in which one or more substances (reactants) are changed chemically into one or more different substances (products).

While simple chemical reactions can be controlled by conditions such as temperature,
10 or the concentration of one or more of the reactants and/or products, many reactions are controlled by conditions based on complex interactions between reactants, catalysts and/or active sites of the reactants.

In reactions where two or more substances combine to form a single substance, the presence of multiple active sites can result in the formation of a range of different
15 products.

To produce a given substance, such reactions may need to be controlled to attempt to limit the range of products formed and thus reduce the requirement for lengthy separation and purification steps. Such techniques are well known in the art and it is anticipated these would be known to a skilled addressee.

20 However, these processes are typically difficult to control and often result in little differences to the degree of product heterogeneity.

A number of separation techniques are widely used for the separation of products from biological or chemical reactions.

Typically, these techniques are based on the physicochemical properties of the compounds in question. Separation may occur based on differences in solubility, charge, absorption or molecular size of the compounds of interest.

5 Chromatographic separation covers a multitude of these techniques, for example liquid chromatography may be defined as a liquid mobile phase passing over or through a solid or gel stationary phase, with or without the application of voltage.

10 The choice of an appropriate separation technique depends on a number of factors, including the reasons for the analysis, the amount of sample available, the need to preserve the activity or function of the sample, the desired purity, the equipment available, the type of compounds present and the cost of different techniques.

Typically, large scale methods are used for crude isolations of large quantities of compounds, whereas small scale methods are available for compounds which are expensive or are available only in small quantities.

15 One common method of separating compounds is done according to their molecular weight. Size exclusion chromatography, sometimes known as gel filtration, is one method used to separate compounds according to their size. This technique uses a column packed with porous beads.

20 Molecules larger than the pores move quickly through the column, whereas smaller molecules enter the pores in the beads and become entrained, and thus move slowly through the column. By this method, molecules are eluted off the column in order of decreasing size.

25 While molecular weights of unknown compounds can be determined by comparing their elution volumes to that of known reference samples, one problem with this method is that molecular weights in many cases cannot be directly related to elution times for different shaped molecules.

In practice, separation depends on the Stokes radius of a compound, rather than directly on its molecular weight. The Stokes radius is the average radius that a compound has in solution, depending on its 3-dimensional structure rather than directly on its size.

5 One example of this are PEGylated compounds.

Small therapeutic proteins have a short half life *in-vivo* due to filtration and excretion through the kidneys. Their circulation half-life can be dramatically improved by increasing their molecular size and shielding the molecules from degradation through the attachment of one or more polyethylene glycol (PEG) groups.

10 In standard "liquid-phase" protein PEGylation methods, native protein is mixed with activated PEG for a specified time. Generally the reaction temperature and pH controls the reaction rate.

Mono-PEGylated protein molecules are formed initially, followed by subsequent residual active sites which react to form di-PEGylated molecules and so on, resulting
15 in a mixture of products differing both in the number of PEG groups attached and their attachment positions.

This method results not only in poor yields of the product of interest but requires further purification steps in order to obtain a homogenous end product.

Where the activated polymer and/or by-products are toxic, biological assays of the
20 desired end product cannot be performed without extensive, time-consuming and costly purification steps.

A wide range of separation techniques have been used to separate PEGylated products and it is anticipated that these would be known to a skilled addressee.

However, the heterogeneity and the close similarity of physicochemical properties

between products makes the purification of PEGylated products difficult.

Gel filtration can be used, but determination of molecular weight by this method is not reliable as the media cannot be readily calibrated.

PEGylated molecules show different elution times from compounds with the same
5 molecular weight, as PEG groups typically occupy approximately twice the volume as a similar sized protein. Molecular weights cannot thus be accurately determined, making accurate separation and identification difficult.

Further, while it is possible to ignore the effect on the active site during conjugation and rely on the later separation of the heterogeneous mixture, the ability to control the
10 PEGylation reaction would simplify the downstream processing required to obtain a homogenous end product.

A number of techniques have been used with varying success to attempt to control the PEGylation reaction by limiting the number of active sites available for conjugation.

The simplest and most obvious is to carry out conjugation in the presence of a
15 substrate or inhibitor that binds to the active site. Some protection can be afforded by this method but, particularly where the binding molecule is small, PEGylation of nearby sites is not necessarily prevented.

Another method involves the use of a "solid -phase" reaction, described by Monkarsh et al, 1997. In this method, the native protein is bound to a chromatographic resin
20 (usually an ion exchange media) and activated PEG is recirculated through the column. After a given time the activated PEG feed is stopped and the resin is washed.

Differences in the strength of electrostatic interaction are then exploited to elute the PEGylated products before more native protein is then loaded, and the activated PEG
25 is again introduced to the column, to repeat the process.

Unfortunately, this method yields little, if any, difference in the degree of product heterogeneity from the liquid-phase method.

Such processes are also typically difficult to control. As such, the inability to reduce the levels of product heterogeneity formed during PEGylation reactions necessitates
5 high purification costs which offset the benefits of PEGylated products.

All references, including any patents or patent applications cited in this specification are hereby incorporated by reference. No admission is made that any reference constitutes prior art. The discussion of the references states what their authors assert, and the applicants reserve the right to challenge the accuracy and pertinency of the
10 cited documents. It will be clearly understood that, although a number of prior art publications are referred to herein, this reference does not constitute an admission that any of these documents form part of the common general knowledge in the art, in New Zealand or in any other country.

It is an object of the present invention to address the foregoing problems or at least to
15 provide the public with a useful choice.

Further aspects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the ensuing description which is given by way of example only.

DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of
20 bringing two or more reaction components together,

characterised by the step of

introducing the reaction components to a medium wherein at least one of the reaction components has a different flow rate from the other reaction component(s) through the medium so that a moving reaction phase is formed.

According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of bringing two or more reaction components together in a moving reaction phase through a medium,

characterised by the further step of

5 separating the reaction products from the medium.

The term "reaction component" can be defined as any compound which takes part in the reaction and which exhibits different flow characteristics through a medium from the other reaction components.

10 The reaction component may be any compound which reacts with another reaction component to form a different compound. However, this should not be seen as a limitation on the present invention in any way as one reaction component could be a catalyst, wherein it is neither consumed nor altered by the chemical reaction in which it participates.

15 In some embodiments the differences in flow rate through the medium will be a consequence of the molecular weight of each reaction component.

However, differences in flow rate may be due to other physicochemical characteristics such as electrostatic charge, ligand interaction and so forth. As such, this should not be seen as a limitation on the present invention in any way.

20 A number of the reaction components may have the same flow rate characteristics, the moving reaction phase being determined by another reaction component with a different flow rate.

The term "moving reaction phase" can be defined as the point where all reaction components necessary for a given reaction are present in the same space in the medium at the same period in time as dictated by the differing flow characteristics of

at least some of the reaction components.

The characteristics of the medium which provide differing flow rates to the reaction components naturally leads to separation of the products through the same principles.

5 The term "medium" can be defined as anything which imparts different flow rates on the basis of a compound's physicochemical properties. The medium may be a chromatographic resin, porous beads, gel, viscous solvent or so forth.

10 In preferred embodiments of the present invention, the medium will preferably be porous beads, with the moving reaction phase and separation occurring through the use of size exclusion chromatography, or gel filtration. However, this should not be seen as a limitation on the present invention in any way.

The beads are preferably made of a crossed linked polymeric material such as dextran or agarose. Molecules with a molecular weight larger than the pores in the beads are excluded, moving quickly through the column whereas the movement of smaller molecules which enter the pores is retarded.

15 The moving reaction zone may be formed through several mechanisms.

Differences in molecular size between reaction components and products allows the control of the moving reaction phase by altering the characteristics of the medium.

Reaction time can also be controlled by adjusting the volumes of the reaction components and the overall flow rate through the column.

20 Differences in molecular size between the reactants and the reaction products may also result in a rate of progress of the products through the column that differs from that of the moving reaction zone.

The products can therefore be selectively removed from the reaction zone, preventing them from being involved in subsequent reactions.

In some media, the inventors have found that the pore size may prevent certain chemical products from forming, perhaps through steric hindrance. For certain reactions where the reactants may have multiple active sites, this method may be used to produce a dominant reaction product of a certain size.

- 5 It is anticipated that the medium may also affect the orientation of the reactants such that some selectivity of active site may be possible in reactions where multiple active sites exist.

Alternatively, some active sites may be protected through the use of protection chemistry as known in the art to selectively produce a given chemical species.

- 10 The use of differing flow rates through a media combines both reaction and separation into a single unit operation. By controlling the volumes of reactants used, the flow rate through the column and by choosing the appropriate media and length of column, separation of reaction products and reactants can occur.

- The ability to produce a specific compound and separate this from other products and reactants enables compounds to be formed with a very high yield and purity.
- 15

In preferred embodiments of the present invention, the technique will be used for protein PEGylation.

- However, this should not be seen as a limitation as the technique may extend to any process in which product(s) differ in molecular size from the reactant(s), such as glycosylation reactions, polymerisation, cleavage reactions and so forth.
- 20

It is anticipated a skilled address using minor experimentation would be able to match an appropriate medium with the separation range required for the substances of interest, allowing the technique to be used for a wide range of compounds and reactions.

Protein PEGylation is a technique wherein the circulation half lives of small therapeutic proteins (<20 kDa) can be dramatically improved by increasing their molecular size through the covalent attachment of one or more polyethylene glycol (PEG) groups.

- 5 The attachment of PEG groups not only prevents early glomerular filtration and excretion but the water of hydration surrounding each PEG group moves constantly is thought to slow immunological attack via steric hindrance.

Increasing the circulation half life of a therapeutic protein has the dual benefits of increasing its overall efficacy and reducing the required frequency of dosage. The
10 latter aspect can be an important factor in reducing patient discomfort and increasing product acceptability; particularly for treatments requiring repeated intravenous or subcutaneous administration.

However, standard PEGylation methods not only give poor yields but results in product heterogeneity to a degree which is unacceptable in a therapeutic protein,
15 necessitating further processing to obtain a homogeneous end product.

Downstream processing is difficult because the physicochemical properties of the PEGylated protein species are very similar, apart from differences in their effective molecular size, and each step required for downstream processing decreases overall yield.

- 20 The low yields from PEGylation and the need for downstream processing represent significant costs for the PEGylated product over and above its native form.

Given that the native therapeutic protein (generally a recombinant protein) must be purified to homogeneity prior to PEGylation, the overall production cost of a PEGylated protein is likely to be very high, offsetting the potential benefits of
25 PEGylation.

Using size-exclusion chromatography, the inventors have found a method to control the PEGylation reaction and allow the substantially simultaneous purification of the products, which they have termed size-exclusion reaction chromatography (SERC).

5 The SERC techniques provides a range of advantages that overcome many of the problems associated with the prior art.

SERC allows molecules to be partitioned within the mobile phase according to their molecular size and shapes and the pore size distribution of the stationary phase.

A difference in molecular size between the reaction products and either of the reactants results in a rate of progress of the products through the column that differs
10 from that of the reaction zone.

Products can therefore be removed selectively from the reaction zone, preventing them from being involved in subsequent reactions, limiting over-reaction.

Further, the inventors have also found that certain size exclusion media can prevent the formation of a given product that exceeds the size of the pore in which the
15 reactants are contained.

By using a size exclusion media wherein the reactants are poorly separated but in which the pore size excludes products larger than the target PEG-protein size, it is anticipated that a native protein could be converted to a specified final molecular size, eliminating the need for further purification and producing a substantially
20 homogenous end product at very high yield.

For reactions such as protein PEGylation, in which successive addition of PEG groups to the protein results in a significant molecular size increase, this presents a method by which a dominant final PEG-protein size can be produced.

It is also anticipated that the shape of the pore in the reactants may affect the orientation of the compounds during conjugation, such that some selectivity of active site may be possible in reactions where multiple active sites exist.

5 SERC thus allows a transient, moving reaction zone to be formed within a size-exclusion chromatography column which can control the time of contact between reactants, selectively remove products from the reaction zone and selectively inhibit reactions based on molecular size.

10 The ability to control not only the partitioning of molecular species with different molecular weights through a column, but also the use of a medium which prevents the formation of a product larger than the pore size has huge potential for controlling a wide range of chemical reactions.

By controlling the pore sizes in the pore size distribution correctly, it should be possible to create a size exclusion medium that will prevent reactions between activated PEG molecules (or any other molecules) and molecules of a specific size,
15 allowing size exclusion mediums to be designed specifically for the production of a specified chemical species of interest.

The advantages of the use of this technology in relation to PEGylation chemistry alone are enormous given the potential benefits of PEGylated products, which previously have suffered due to a number of serious disadvantages in the prior art.

20 An example of how the present invention can be used in relation to PEGylation is given below.

In this example, a short pulse of activated PEG is injected onto a size-exclusion column running at a constant volumetric flow rate.

A subsequent pulse of native protein eventually catches up to the slower-moving PEG pulse, forming a transient, moving reaction zone that exists for as long as the two reactant pulses occupy the same axial position in the column.

5 The addition of a 5000 Da PEG group adds significantly more than 5000 Da to the effective molecular weight of the protein, as measured by size-exclusion chromatography, due to the water of hydration associated with the PEG group.

The addition of a single 5000 Da PEG group to a 35.8 kDa protein results in a mono-PEGylated protein with an apparent molecular weight in excess of 100 kDa. Thus the PEGylated product is partitioned differently from either of the two reactants.

10 If the size-exclusion medium is chosen carefully, the PEGylated product will be partitioned into the void space between the stationary phase and so will move relatively quickly out of the reaction zone. Additional column length downstream of the reaction zone allows separation of the reactants and products.

15 By choosing appropriate reactant pulse volumes, reactant concentrations and volumetric flow rates, a large degree of control can be exercised over the reaction conditions and the length of the subsequent separation zone.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention will be further described by reference to the figures of the accompanying drawings in which:

20 Figure 1 Schematic of the change in individual PEGylation product concentrations with time during batch PEGylation.

Figure 2 Elution profiles of native α -lactalbumin and β -lactoglobulin on a Superdex 200 HR 10/30 size-exclusion column.

- Figure 3 The effect of reaction time on the apparent molecular weight of α -lactalbumin for batch PEGylation.
- Figure 4 The effect of reaction time on the apparent molecular weight of β -lactoglobulin for batch PEGylation.
- 5 Figure 5 The elution profile for SERC PEGylation of α -lactalbumin (UV absorbance at 280 nm).
- Figure 6 The elution profile for SERC PEGylation of β -lactoglobulin (UV absorbance at 280 nm).
- 10 Figure 7 Molecular weight profiles of fractions collected during SERC PEGylation of α -lactalbumin. Chromatograms are stacked to aid readability. Numbers refer to fractions in figure 5.
- Figure 8 Molecular weight profiles of fractions collected during SERC PEGylation of β -lactoglobulin. Chromatograms are stacked to aid readability. Numbers refer to fractions in figure 6.
- 15 Figure 9 Molecular weight profiles of pooled protein fractions collected during SERC PEGylation of α -lactalbumin.
- Figure 10 Molecular weight profiles of pooled protein fractions collected during SERC PEGylation of β -lactoglobulin.
- 20 Figure 11 Dependence on the apparent molecular weight of the number of PEG groups attached.
- Figure 12 Diagrammatic representation of the moving reaction phase formed between two reactants using size-exclusion reaction chromatography.

EXAMPLE 1

SERC PEGylation was conducted on two model proteins, α -lactalbumin (14.2 kDa) and β -lactoglobulin (a 35.8 kDa dimer), and compared with standard batch PEGylation techniques. A diagram showing the change in individual PEGylation product concentrations over time during batch PEGylation is shown in Figure 1.

It was anticipated that the large molecular weight products of PEGylation would be partitioned into the void volume of the size-exclusion media and therefore be selectively removed from the slower-moving reaction zone, limiting the extent of the reaction and minimising multiple PEGylation reactions.

A diagrammatic representation of the moving reaction zone formed between the reactants using size-exclusion reaction chromatography is shown by Figure 12.

In this figure, the faster moving protein (B) catches up with the slower moving protein (A) to form a moving reaction zone (X) that exists as long as the two reactants are in the same space of the medium, at the same time.

The reaction product (C) by virtue of its larger apparent molecular weight is moving quickly out of the reaction zone.

In the course of experiments it was also discovered that the size-exclusion medium also acts to prevent the formation of a product larger than the pore size in which the reactants were located.

It is concluded that this latter effect has far greater potential for controlling such reactions, through appropriate choice of the size-exclusion media pore size, than differences in migration rates through the column alone.

Materials & Methods

Reagents

Activated PEG reagent, mPEG succinimidyl propionate (mPEG-SPA), molecular weight 5000 Da, was purchased from Shearwater Corporation, Alabama. α -lactalbumin, (14,200 Da) and β -lactoglobulin dimer (35,800 Da) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, Australia.

Gel filtration chromatograms of the two proteins are shown in figure 2. A minor amount of α -lactalbumin is evident in the β -lactoglobulin material but otherwise the two proteins are sufficiently pure for PEGylation studies.

10 All solutions were made up in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer at pH 7.5.

Batch PEGylation

Batch PEGylation was carried out with each protein individually to follow the reaction progress in the absence of the size-exclusion medium.

15 10 mL of 20 mg/mL mPEG-SPA solution was added to 10 mL of 20 mg/mL native protein and stirred in an open 25 mL beaker at room temperature. One sample (approx. 1 mL) was withdrawn immediately after mixing the reactants and further samples were taken at 10-minute intervals for 1 hour.

Samples were placed into vials and acidified with one drop of 1N HCl to stop the reaction.

20 SERC PEGylation

SERC PEGylation of each protein was carried out to determine the elution profile from the size-exclusion column and to compare the overall product profiles with the corresponding batch PEGylation results.

A HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 75 pg size-exclusion column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) was connected to an AKTAexplorer 10XT liquid chromatography system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) and equilibrated with two column volumes of 20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5.

- 5 A specified volume of mPEG-SPA (10 mg/mL) was injected onto the column, followed immediately by an equal volume of native protein (10 mg/mL). UV absorbance was read at 280 nm.

5 mL fractions were collected continuously from the outlet stream after an initial 40 mL of buffer (i.e. slightly less than the void volume of the column) had passed
10 through the column.

1 mL samples of each fraction was withdrawn by pipette and placed into auto sampler vials for size-exclusion analysis. The remaining portions of those fractions that contained proteins (identified from previous runs) were pooled and a sample of the pool was taken for size-exclusion analysis.

- 15 Reactant injection volumes and flow rates were then set to give estimated reaction zone residence times (i.e. the times for which the reactants overlapped in the column) by assuming that each reactant progressed through the column at a constant velocity, related to its K_{av} value (see Appendix 1).

Analysis

- 20 40 μ L samples were injected onto a Superdex 200 HR10/30 size-exclusion column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) connected to an AKTAexplorer 10XT system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) using an Autosampler A900, running phosphate buffered saline at 0.5 mL/min.

The size-exclusion column was first calibrated using standard molecular weight markers (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden). UV absorbance was read at 280 nm and the molecular weight profiles of samples recorded.

Individual samples of α -lactalbumin and β -lactoglobulin (dimer) and mPEG-SPA
5 all gave retention volumes consistent with their native molecular weights.

Results

Batch PEGylation

Figures 3 and 4 show gel filtration chromatograms for the products of batch PEGylation of α -lactalbumin and β -lactoglobulin, respectively. In each case there is
10 a shift in the peak areas with time, showing a reduction in the native protein peak, an initial increase and then decrease in the mono-PEGylated protein peak, and corresponding increases in the multiple-PEGylated species with time.

Figure 11 shows the apparent molecular weights of the PEGylation products for both α -lactalbumin and β -lactoglobulin as a function of the number of PEG groups
15 assumed to have been attached. The curves in Figure 11 show that the increase in apparent molecular weight resulting from the addition of successive PEG groups is consistent between these proteins, with each 5 kDa PEG group adding between 50 and 100 kDa to the effective molecular weight of the proteins. Notably, when analysed separately, mPEG-SPA had a retention volume on the analytical size-
20 exclusion column that is consistent with its 5 kDa molecular weight (data not shown).

SERC PEGylation

Figures 5 and 6 show the elution profiles obtained from the SERC process for α -lactalbumin and β -lactoglobulin, respectively.

Gel filtration analyses of the fractions collected are given in Figures 7 and 8. These show that the larger molecular weight species were eluted early and that there was good separation of the protein species from the residual mPEG-SPA and other low molecular weight reaction products. Thus substantially simultaneous reaction and
5 separation was achieved.

Figures 9 and 10 show the pooled protein fractions eluted from the SERC process for α -lactalbumin and β -lactoglobulin, respectively.

Figure 9 shows that the SERC process has resulted in a significantly reduced extent of reaction of α -lactalbumin than the corresponding batch process (figure 3).

10 Comparison of figure 10 (SERC) with figure 4 (batch) shows that there is a slight difference in the molecular weight profiles resulting from the two reaction methods for β -lactoglobulin, but that the reaction is not inhibited to the extent that it is for α -lactalbumin.

Discussion

15 The SERC PEGylation of β -lactoglobulin with a calculated residence time of 34 minutes resulted in a higher ratio of mono-PEGylated product than the corresponding batch process at 30 minutes. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the larger, PEGylated molecules are accelerated out of the reaction zone at a faster rate than the native protein, thereby reducing the probability that they will be involved in further
20 PEGylation.

The results show that protein PEGylation can be carried out in a size-exclusion column and that reactants and products are separated in the process.

Size-exclusion is a logical choice for the purification of PEGylated proteins and this combination of reaction and separation in a single unit operation should reduce

capital costs and eliminate the need for handling and conditioning between reaction and separation steps.

Further, SERC PEGylation of α -lactalbumin (figure 9) resulted in a significantly lower extent of reaction than batch PEGylation (figure 3), the dominant product being
5 native α -lactalbumin. An explanation for this is that α -lactalbumin is mainly partitioned into pores that prevent the simultaneous occupancy by mPEG-SPA molecules in an orientation suitable for reaction, even though both molecules should be able to penetrate the same pores.

This is in contrast with the result for β -lactoglobulin, where the degree of reaction
10 inhibition is markedly less (figure 10). β -lactoglobulin, though larger than α -lactalbumin, is apparently partitioned in such a way as to remain largely accessible by mPEG-SPA molecules with sufficient mobility to react.

If there were no steric hindrance effects by the pores then one might expect β -lactoglobulin to react about 24% faster than α -lactalbumin, based on the batch
15 reaction results. However, 72.5% of the β -lactoglobulin reacted in the SERC column with a 34-minute reaction zone residence time, compared with only 16.7% for α -lactalbumin with a 55-minute reaction zone residence time.

This is not explained by differences in concentration due to partitioning effects alone. It is therefore likely that steric hindrance due to the pores is an important factor and
20 that the α -lactalbumin molecules fit tightly into the pores they occupy whereas β -lactoglobulin molecules fit loosely into the pores they occupy.

This finding suggests a method for controlling reactions by controlling the pore-sizes and pore-size distribution so as to obtain a high yield of a product with a specific molecular weight.

A size-exclusion medium that separates the reactants poorly but that sharply excludes products larger than the target PEGylated protein size would maximise the reaction time but minimise the formation of over-PEGylated products.

5 This would allow a size-exclusion medium to be designed specifically for the production of, for example, a di-PEGylated protein. The SERC process could then be run with an excess of activated PEG, allowing conversion of native and mono-PEGylated protein species to di-PEGylated protein to be maximised, while inhibiting further PEGylation through steric hindrance.


10 The by-products and residual PEG species could be separated from the di-PEGylated protein product by collecting fractions in the exit stream, producing a largely homogeneous product at high yield.

This, in combination with a reduction in capital costs and eliminating handling between reaction and separation steps, has the potential to greatly improve process economics.

15 Aspects of the present invention have been described by way of example only and it should be appreciated that modifications and additions may be made thereto without departing from the scope thereof.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO

by Their Attorneys


JAMES & WELLS

20

Intellectual Property
Office of 12

RECEIVED

Appendix A

Simple Prediction of SERC Reaction Times and Elution Times (MathCAD Document)

Calculation of Reaction Zone and Separation for SERC-PEGylation Reactor

This model is based on the expected elution volumes of molecules of known molecular weight.

The Kav values for species are used to calculate the elution volume and a linear dependence of position along the axial length of the column is assumed. The column dimensions are set for the particular column used, in this case, the HiLoad 16/60 column containing Superdex 75 p.g. gel. The parameters used in this example are for beta-lactoglobulin. The model is identical for alpha-lactalbumin but Kav values change.

Calculate column area

ColDiameter := 16mm

ColLength := 60cm

$$\text{ColArea} := \pi \frac{\text{ColDiameter}^2}{4}$$

$$\text{ColArea} = 2.011 \frac{\text{mL}}{\text{cm}}$$

Calculate elution volumes for native protein (NProt), PEGylated protein (PProt) and PEG, based on their individual Kav values. PProt is assumed to be partitioned into the void volume, so Kav = 0.0.

Note: Kav values and some other parameters are globally defined at positions below the graph output for convenience.

$$\text{PeakEluteVol_NProt} := (\text{TotVol} - \text{VoidVol}) \cdot \text{Kav_NProt} + \text{VoidVol}$$

$$\text{PeakEluteVol_NProt} = 56.498 \text{ mL}$$

$$\text{PeakEluteVol_PProt} := (\text{TotVol} - \text{VoidVol}) \cdot \text{Kav_PProt} + \text{VoidVol}$$

$$\text{PeakEluteVol_PProt} = 42 \text{ mL}$$

$$\text{PeakEluteVol_PEG} := (\text{TotVol} - \text{VoidVol}) \cdot \text{Kav_PEG} + \text{VoidVol}$$

$$\text{PeakEluteVol_PEG} = 89.798 \text{ mL}$$

$$\text{PeakTime_NProt} := \frac{\text{PeakEluteVol_NProt}}{\text{VolFlow}}$$

$$\text{PeakTime_NProt} = 75.33 \text{ min}$$

$$\text{PeakTime_PProt} := \frac{\text{PeakEluteVol_PProt}}{\text{VolFlow}}$$

$$\text{PeakTime_PProt} = 56 \text{ min}$$

$$\text{PeakTime_PEG} := \frac{\text{PeakEluteVol_PEG}}{\text{VolFlow}}$$

$$\text{PeakTime_PEG} = 119.73 \text{ min}$$

The axial length (peak width) of the injection volumes of PEG and NProt are calculated by assuming they are proportional to the fraction of the column available to them through partitioning.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ColFrac_NProt} &:= \frac{\text{PeakEluteVol_NProt}}{\text{TotVol}} \\ \text{ColFrac_NProt} &= 0.456 \\ \text{ColFrac_PProt} &:= \frac{\text{PeakEluteVol_PProt}}{\text{TotVol}} \\ \text{ColFrac_PProt} &= 0.339 \\ \text{ColFrac_PEG} &:= \frac{\text{PeakEluteVol_PEG}}{\text{TotVol}} \\ \text{ColFrac_PEG} &= 0.724 \\ \text{PeakVol_NProt} &:= \frac{\text{NProtVol}}{\text{ColFrac_NProt}} \\ \text{PeakVol_NProt} &= 6.584\text{ml} \\ \text{PeakVol_PEG} &:= \frac{\text{PEGVol}}{\text{ColFrac_PEG}} \\ \text{PeakVol_PEG} &= 4.143\text{ml} \\ \text{PeakWidth_NProt} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{PeakVol_NProt}}{\text{ColFrac_NProt}}}{\text{ColArea}} \\ \text{PeakWidth_NProt} &= 7.187\text{cm} \\ \text{PeakWidth_PEG} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{PeakVol_PEG}}{\text{ColFrac_PEG}}}{\text{ColArea}} \\ \text{PeakWidth_PEG} &= 2.845\text{cm} \end{aligned}$$

The position of each species along the axis of the column with time is calculated from the K_{av} values and the volumetric flow rate through the column. Values are Y_{NProt} , Y_{PEG} and Y_{PProt} as functions of Time. Y_{PProt} is a function of both K_{av} and the position at which it appears. It is assumed to begin to appear in the column at the point where the leading edge of the NProt injection volume just meets the trailing edge of the PEG injection volume. Production of PProt occurs for as long as the two reactants are in contact within the column. PProt then elutes at a rate determined by its K_{av} value (assumed to be 0.0 normally.)

The position of the leading and trailing edge of each species is calculated from the expected injection peak width for the reactants and from the total reaction (production) time for PProt.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Time} &:= 0\text{min}, 0.5\text{min}.. 360\text{min} \\ Y_{\text{NProt}}(\text{Time}) &:= \frac{(\text{Time} - \text{DelayTime_NProt})}{\text{PeakTime_NProt}} \cdot \text{ColLength} \\ Y_{\text{PEG}}(\text{Time}) &:= \frac{(\text{Time} - \text{DelayTime_PEG})}{\text{PeakTime_PEG}} \cdot \text{ColLength} \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_NProt(0min) = -4.779cm$$

$$Y_PEQ(0min) = 0cm$$

$$Ytrailing_NProt(Time) := Y_NProt(Time) + \frac{PeakWidth_NProt}{2}$$

$$Ytrailing_PEQ(Time) := Y_PEQ(Time) + \frac{PeakWidth_PEG}{2}$$

$$Yleading_NProt(Time) := Y_NProt(Time) - \frac{PeakWidth_NProt}{2}$$

$$Yleading_PEQ(Time) := Y_PEQ(Time) - \frac{PeakWidth_PEG}{2}$$

$$StartTime_PProt := \frac{DelayTime_NProt - \frac{PeakTime_NProt}{PeakTime_PEG} \cdot DelayTime_PEG}{1 - \frac{PeakTime_NProt}{PeakTime_PEG}}$$

$$StartTime_PProt = 16.18mir$$

$$Y_PProt(Time) := \left(Y_NProt(StartTime_PProt) + \frac{Time - StartTime_PProt}{PeakTime_PProt} \cdot ColLength \right)$$

$$StartTime_PProt_trailing := \frac{\left[\frac{PeakTime_NProt \cdot PeakTime_PEG}{2 \cdot ColLength} \cdot (PeakWidth_NProt + PeakWidth_PEG) \right] + PeakTime_PEG \cdot DelayTime_NProt - PeakTime_NProt \cdot DelayTime_PEG}{PeakTime_PEG - PeakTime_NProt}$$

$$StartTime_PProt_leading := \frac{\frac{PeakTime_NProt \cdot PeakTime_PEG}{2 \cdot ColLength} \cdot (PeakWidth_NProt + PeakWidth_PEG) + PeakTime_PEG \cdot DelayTime_NProt - PeakTime_NProt \cdot DelayTime_PEG}{PeakTime_PEG - PeakTime_NProt}$$

$$StartTime_PProt_trailing = -0.804min$$

$$StartTime_PProt_leading = 33.163mir$$

$$Y_PProt_trailing(Time) := Ytrailing_NProt(StartTime_PProt_trailing) + \frac{Time - StartTime_PProt_trailing}{PeakTime_PProt} \cdot ColLength$$

$$Y_PProt_leading(Time) := Yleading_NProt(StartTime_PProt_leading) + \frac{Time - StartTime_PProt_leading}{PeakTime_PProt} \cdot ColLength$$

$$Y_PProt_lead(Time) := \text{if}(Time > StartTime_PProt_leading, Y_PProt_leading(Time), -10)$$

$$Y_PProt_trail(Time) := \text{if}(Time > StartTime_PProt_trailing, Y_PProt_trailing(Time), -10)$$

$$Y_PProt_mean(Time) := \text{if}(Time > StartTime_PProt, Y_PProt(Time), -10)$$

$$Reaction_Time := StartTime_PProt_leading - StartTime_PProt_trailing$$

$$T_PProt := StartTime_PProt + \frac{ColLength - Y_NProt(StartTime_PProt)}{ColLength} \cdot PeakTime_PProt$$

$$T_NProt := PeakTime_NProt + DelayTime_NProt$$

$$T_PEG := PeakTime_PEG + DelayTime_PEG$$

The following graph shows the position of each component in the column as a function of time. The expected elution times of each species is calculated and shown above the graph. Below the graph are the global variables that define the operating parameters. Altering these show the consequent effects directly on the graph. The calculated total reaction time (i.e. the total time that the reactants overlap along the column axis) is shown on the graph.


The solid lines indicate the expected mean position of each component. The dotted lines indicate the leading and trailing edges of each species. Altering the PEG volume and NProt volume will affect reaction time and the width of each species as it progresses through the column. The reaction time is also affected by the volumetric flow rate.

Altering the volumetric flow rate, the injection volumes, and the delay times (e.g. Delay_Time_NProt, the time allowed between injecting the activated PEG and the native protein) all affect the reaction time and the separation of the species at elution.

T_PProt = 64.612min

T_NProt = 81.33min

T_PEG = 119.73min



Reaction_Time = 33.966min

TotVol = 124mL

VoidVol = 42mL

Kav_PEG = 0.582

Kav_PProt = 0.0

Kav_NProt = 0.176

DelayTime_NProt = 6min

DelayTime_PEG = 0min

NProtVol = 3.0mL

PEGVol = 3.0mL

$$\text{VolFlow} \equiv 0.75 \frac{\text{mL}}{\text{min}}$$

The graph below is a simple representation of the expected elution times of each peak. No attempt has been made to model the true shapes of the elution peaks - these are merely calculated as arbitrary probability densities, assuming a normal distribution.

The actual profiles will certainly be a function of the PEGylation reaction kinetics.

The graph does give a simple indication of the extent to which one can expect the elution peaks to be separated in the column.

$$\text{LinFlow} := \frac{\text{VolFlow}}{\text{ColArea}}$$

$$T' := 0\text{min}, 1\text{min}.. T_{\text{PEG}} 1.5$$

$$\text{LinFlow} = 6.217 \times 10^{-3} \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{s}}$$

$$\text{SD_PProt} := \frac{Y_{\text{PProt_lead}}(T_{\text{PProt}}) - Y_{\text{PProt_trail}}(T_{\text{PProt}})}{8 \cdot \text{LinFlow}}$$

$$\text{SD_NProt} := \frac{Y_{\text{leading_NProt}}(T_{\text{NProt}}) - Y_{\text{trailing_NProt}}(T_{\text{NProt}})}{8 \cdot \text{LinFlow}}$$

$$\text{SD_PEG} := \frac{Y_{\text{leading_PEG}}(T_{\text{PEG}}) - Y_{\text{trailing_PEG}}(T_{\text{PEG}})}{8 \cdot \text{LinFlow}}$$




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7




FIGURE 8




FIGURE 9




FIGURE 10




FIGURE 11





FIGURE 12

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.